



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,155	01/24/2001	Richard L. Maliszewski	42390P10463	5250

8791 7590 10/21/2005

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

WRIGHT, NORMAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/769,155	Applicant(s) MALISZEWSKI, RICHARD L.	
	Examiner Norman M. Wright	Art Unit 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 72205.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,9,11,15,16,18 and 30-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11, 15-16, 30-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119


- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.


NORMAN M. WRIGHT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

RP

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The examiner acknowledges the filing of an amendment on 7/22/05, amending claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11, 15-16, 18; adding new claims 30-33, and canceling claims 3, 5-7, 10, 12-14, 17, and 19-29. Now outstanding are claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11, 15-16, 18 and 30-33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11, 15-16, 18, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Maliszewski et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,662,060, hereinafter '060.

As per claims 1-2, 4 and 30-33, '060 teaches a method, apparatus, and an article for multimedia playback with title specific parameters comprising: a secure content based user experience enhancement player device, rendering digital content, accepting encrypted content, decrypting, down sampling the decrypted content, an enhancement module providing user experience enhancement, decompressing, rendering for perception by a user, tamper resistant technique to deter unauthorized access/title

Art Unit: 2134

specific parameters/ first and second fidelity, down sampling prior to rendering, high fidelity digital content, lower fidelity content, digital audio data, first and second entities/ DVDs/ players/ modules (22, 24, 32), plug in software module / auto installer 32, and visual enhancement module/ displaying information, digital audio or video data (content data), see '060 figs. 1-2, column 2, lines 2-15 et seq. and lines 35-65, col. 3, line 5-10 et seq., lines 32-40, and 55-65, col. 4, lines 1-40, col. 5, lines 1 – col. 6, lines 35 et seq., .

As per claims 8-9 and 11, they distinguish from rejected claims 1-2, 4 by reciting an article comprising a storage medium having a machine-readable instruction/program for causing the method process to occur. '060 teach an embodiment that encompasses such an article (see col. 6, lines 1 et seq.), otherwise see above for the specifics of the rejection.

As per claims 15-16 and 18, they distinguish over rejected claims 1-2, 4, 8-9 and 11 by reciting a processing system for performing the method and article instruction processing. Similarly, '060 teaches a processing system (400), player device (22, col. 2, lines 36 et seq.), decryption agent/module (26), enhancement module (col. 3, lines 60-67, and col. 4, lines 1-16 and 30-43 et seq.) , down sampler (30) decompressor module (28, 62), renderer module, and a display (22), and see also figures 1-3, and col. 3, lines 38 seq..

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's remarks that '060 does not disclose a plug-in module, applicant is advise to see (fig. 1, 22,24,32). As to the remarks that '060 does not teach audibly

Art Unit: 2134

rendering the decrypted first fidelity content and sloving the problem of a third party gaining access to the plug-in module. It is moot since the elements are not elements of recited claims 1, 8 and 15.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (571) 272-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Fridays from 8am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Norman M. Wright
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2134

nmw